## OK TO ENTER: /W.D.C./

SEP 2 6 1000 W

2000 AF

PTO/SB/21 (07-06) Approved for use through 09/30/2006. OMB 0651-0031

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no pen	sons are required to res		ark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ation unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
Charles of the control of the contro		Application Number	10/642,244-Conf. #8162
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Date	August 18, 2003
		First Named Inventor	Sheng-Chih LAI
		Art Unit	2823
		Examiner Name	W. D. Coleman
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	4448-0181PUS1
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply	Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer		X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund		Supplemental Reply Brief
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Landscape Table on CD		
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Signature

Late 128 > 8 0

Printed name a Joe McKinney Muncy

OK TO ENTER: /W.D.C./

Reg. No.

32,334

14

Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

September 26, 2006



Docket No.: 4448-0181PUS1

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Sheng-Chih LAI et al.

Application No.: 10/642,244

Filed: August 18, 2003

For: MASK READ ONLY MEMORY CONTAINING DIODES AND METHOD OF

MANUFACTURING THE SAME

Confirmation No.: 8162

Art Unit: 2823

Examiner: W. D. Coleman

## SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants have now received a Supplemental Examiner's Answer dated July 28, 2006 as well as a new Examiner's Answer mailed on September 5, 2006. Applicants understand that the Examiner's Answer of September 5, 2006 incorporates the original Examiner's Answer of April 6, 2006 and the Supplemental Examiner's Answer of July 28, 2006. In addition, page 2 of the recent Examiner's Answer adds headings for the various required parts of the Appeal Brief. It also includes a minor rewording on pages 10 and 11.

Since the Examiner's Answer of September 5, 2006 includes only material from the original Examiner's Answer and the Supplemental Examiner's Answer of July 28, 2006, and that any other changes are not substantive, the present response and answer to the Supplemental Examiner's Answer also will suffice as an Answer to the Examiner's Answer of September 5, 2006 when taken in conjunction with the previous Reply Brief.

In response to Applicants' suggestion that Johnson fails to show dielectric layers "on the diodes" the Examiner has referred to column 5, lines 15-25 and column 8, lines 45-48 to suggest a pre-existing Schottky diode that may be present. Applicants disagree with the Examiner's suggestion. First, the Examiner in both Answers on page 3 has indicated that the diodes are identified by reference numeral 12. This is seen in Figure 1 as incorporating both sections 13 and 14 and the anti-fuse layer 16 therebetween. Accordingly, a suggestion that the Schottky diode can be formed from layers 114 and 115 contradicts that the Examiner's indication of the location of the diodes.

Secondly, Applicants disagree with the Examiner's understanding of the cited portions of the reference. The Examiner has included only part of the paragraph in column 5 which begins at line 9. The beginning of that paragraph specifically states that the cell includes a series connection of diode components and an anti-fuse layer. While it lists a number of possible implementations of the diode, the sentence starts out "Once formed, the diode". This refers to the presence of the anti-fuse layer which must be removed before the diode can be formed. The fact that the formed diode can be a Schottky diode does not state that the Schottky diode is formed from the layers 114 and 115. The statement on column 8, lines 45-48 only discusses that the conductive layer 114 may be aluminum and does not mention the Schottky diode. In addition to the reference not stating that these layers may form such a diode, the Examiner is referred to the statement at column 9, lines 57-59. "These highly-doped layers prevent ohmic transitions to prevent unintended Schottky diode formation." This is in reference to the n\*

2 KM/RFG/af

Application No. 10/642,244 Docket No.: 4448-0181PUS1

layers. Thus, this seems to indicate that not only is the Schottky diode not formed, but that the layer specifically prevents it. Without an indication that the Schottky diode is present, it is clear that the dielectric layers on the diodes cannot be met and further diodes 12 cannot meet the terms

of the claim either.

be reversed.

For these reasons, Applicants submit that the Examiner's Statement and the Supplemental Examiner's Answer does not overcome the Applicants' arguments as presented in the Appeal Brief and the Reply Brief. Accordingly, Applicants again request that the Examiner's rejection

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Robert F. Gnuse, Reg. No. 27,295, at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: September 26, 2006

Respectfully submitted

Joe Mckinney Muncy

Registration No.: 32,334 T 2558 BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000 Attorney for Applicant

3

KM/REG/af

KM/RFG/af